

	Oral Presentation (35%)	Poster (35%)	Professionalism (20%)	Mentor Requirements (10%)
EXCELLENT	Powerpoints are clear and easy to read.	Organized with clear headings.	Attends all research meetings on time.	
	Data is presented in a clear and logical fashion.	Graphics clearly labelled and explained.	Meets written deadlines.	
	Data supports the hypothesis presented.	Data is presented in a clear and logical fashion.	Work submitted is high quality, well written.	
	Speaker answers questions competently.	Overall design attractive and easy to read.	Maintains regular contact with mentor, reports progress.	
GOOD	Powerpoints clear but not in logical sequence or lack transitions.	Contains headings but unorganized or difficult to follow.	Occasionally misses or late to scheduled meetings.	
	Data present, but difficult to understand.	Graphics labelled but with incomplete explanations.	Misses some of the scheduled deadlines.	
	Speaker fails to explain relationship between data and hypothesis.	Data present, but difficult to understand.	Submitted materials contain small errors.	
	Speaker provides incomplete answers to questions.	Mildly difficult to read due to font, design or poor grammar.	Occasionally contacts mentor with updates.	
NEEDS ATTENTION	Powerpoints difficult to read, contain typos, or illegible	Headings missing or irrelevant.	Frequently tardy or absent from meetings.	
	Data missing or impossible to follow.	Graphics missing or without labels and explanations.	Rarely meets scheduled deadlines.	
	Data not relevant to hypothesis.	Data missing or impossible to follow.	Work often contains multiple errors, sloppy.	
	Unable to answer questions or provides incorrect information.	Very difficult to follow, contains typos or other mistakes.	Fails to maintain any contact with mentor during semester.	